

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,938	08/25/2003		Ming-Hsien Cheng	BP3022-J30-P30	8237
7590 04/15/2004			EXAMINER		
Ming-Hsien C			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M		
235 Chung - Ho Box 8-24	0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Taipei, TAIWAN				3712	
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,938	CHENG, MING-HSIEN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Urszula M Cegielnik	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, — , , —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	ս (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Application/Control Number: 10/645,938

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

 \bar{U}

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of US Patent No. 6,702,285 in view of Squibbs.

The patented and the pending claims set forth the same invention substantially the same scope except the game tray being triangular and having 28 adjacent slots arranged in seven lines. However, Squibbs teaches a triangular game tray that has a number of recesses (slots) arranged in a plurality of lines (see Figure 3, in this instance, the triangular game tray having five lines). Squibb further teaches that the number of lines is not limited to just a size composed of five lines, but may include other sizes having a different number of recesses (slots).

In view of Squibbs, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the patented game tray of claim 8 by providing triangular game tray having 28 adjacent slots arranged in seven lines, since Squibbs states at col. 4, lines 28-32, that bases of other sizes having a different number of recesses, and to increase the skill level of the game.

Claims 3-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of US Patent No. 6.702.285. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they set forth subject matters which are obvious over each other and only differ in breadth of terminology used. For example, the limitation "game tray" in claims 3-7 of the application is an obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/645,938

Art Unit: 3712

variation in meaning of the limitation "game frame" in patent claim 8 because the game tray and game frame are disclosed as being the same feature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 703-306-5806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 5:30AM - 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for both regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service at 703-306-5648.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3712

DERRIS H. BANKS
CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
COMMODORY CENTER 3700